

RatingsDirect®

Research Update:

Transport for London 'AA+/A-1+' Ratings Affirmed Despite Heavy Capital Program; Outlook Stable

Primary Credit Analyst:

Robin Froggatt-Smith, London (44) 20-7176-3609; robin.froggatt-smith@standardandpoors.com

Secondary Contact:

Hugo Foxwood, London (44) 20-7176-3781; hugo.foxwood@standardandpoors.com

Table Of Contents

Overview

Rating Action

Rationale

Outlook

Key Statistics

Ratings Score Snapshot

Key Sovereign Statistics

Related Criteria And Research

Ratings List

Research Update:

Transport for London 'AA+/A-1+' Ratings Affirmed Despite Heavy Capital Program; Outlook Stable

Overview

- Transport for London (TfL) continues to perform in line with our expectations.
- Operating balances and balances after capital accounts are weakening as government grants reduce and large capital investments result in significant deficits after capital accounts, but growth in fare revenues is proving robust.
- We are therefore affirming the 'AA+/A-1+' issuer credit ratings on TfL.
- The stable outlook reflects our expectation that TfL's flexibility, financial management, liquidity, and supportive institutional framework will continue to be credit strengths, despite the weakening of its financial profile.

Rating Action

On May 1, 2015, Standard & Poor's Ratings Services affirmed its 'AA+' long-term and 'A-1+' short-term issuer credit ratings on U.K. transport provider Transport for London (TfL). The outlook is stable.

Rationale

The ratings on TfL reflect the extremely predictable and supportive institutional framework within which it operates as a U.K. local authority; its exceptional liquidity position in international terms; and London's very strong economy, and growing population, which underpin demand for TfL's services. Although TfL has a major capital program, the U.K. government effectively underwrites much of the exposure to cost overruns on TfL's largest capital project, Crossrail. Therefore, we believe TfL has very low contingent liabilities. Positively, TfL has strong budgetary flexibility owing to its large capital investment program and a high and rising share of self-generated modifiable operating revenues (mostly fares). Although its ability to increase fares can be subject to political constraints, we view TfL's overall commitment to fiscal discipline and the quality of its financial management as being very strong. Constraining factors include TfL's weak budgetary performance (notably after capital expenditure [capex]) and high debt burden. The long-term rating on TfL is equivalent to our 'aa+' assessment of its stand-alone credit profile.

TfL is a functional body of the Greater London Authority (GLA) and is responsible for implementing the mayor's transport strategy in London. TfL has many subsidiaries that together comprise the TfL Group. In our analysis, we focus on this consolidated group.

We continue to view the U.K. institutional framework, within which TfL operates as a local authority, as extremely stable and predictable. Although many local authorities will face continued spending pressures over the coming years, as the U.K. seeks to reduce its fiscal deficit, we anticipate that they will have the capacity to properly plan and reduce expenditures without threatening their mandatory responsibilities. Reflecting the austerity in the U.K. public sector, TfL is experiencing reductions in its grant income, but these have been small for the sector. And unlike other local authorities, TfL has been allocated a substantial multiyear capital grant up to 2021. This reflects the ongoing central government support for investment in the London transport network, which is vital to London's economy.

The strength of London's economy, the growth in its population, and the consequent demand for public transport, are key to TfL's creditworthiness. These factors support both its ability to generate fare revenues, and the rationale for continued central government funding. We estimate that London's gross value added (GVA) per capita was £42,222 in 2014, and we forecast growth in GVA will remain steady at about 3% on average over the next three years, supported by rising employment levels. We expect London will grow faster than the U.K. average, reflecting the more flexible and service-oriented nature of London's economy, in which financial services play a key role. London is both pivotal to the U.K.'s economic growth and a major net contributor to the U.K.'s fiscal position.

Supported by London's economy, growth in TfL's fare revenues has proved buoyant. Despite this, declining government grants and substantial investment in capital infrastructure result in weak budgetary performance. In our base case, we expect TfL to post deficits after capital accounts over the next three years averaging 17% of total revenues and lower operating surpluses of about 2% on average.

We expect the decline in operating balances from 2015 to be more marked than the fall in balances after capital accounts. We forecast that TfL will even post a temporary operating deficit in 2015/2016. That said, the size of this fall is largely due to a change in the way that some government grant is classified from 2015/2016, and has limited significance from a credit perspective.

As a consequence of its capital program, we forecast that TfL's debt burden will remain high. The change in our ratio of tax-supported debt to operating revenues implies a more marked increase in TfL's debt burden, to about 149% in 2018 from 125% in March 2015, due to the decrease in the operating-revenue denominator, as from 2015 onward a portion of TfL's grant is reclassified to capital revenue from operating revenue.

We understand that TfL is willing and able to defer or cancel many aspects of its large and diverse capital program, if necessary. We view TfL's ability to control these expenditures as its main source of budgetary flexibility. Although TfL has significant flexibility to raise its transport fares in theory, given its near-monopoly position over public transport in London, we believe that this is tempered in reality by political constraints, particularly upcoming general and mayoral elections. Therefore, in our base-case scenario, we forecast that TfL will increase

fares less than is assumed in its business plan. (However, we assume that TfL is compensated for lower fare increases with extra operating grant, as has happened in the past.)

We view TfL's overall commitment to fiscal discipline, and the quality of its financial management, as very strong. This reflects our view, in particular, of TfL's detailed long-term planning, clear budgetary procedures, and high level of transparency.

Liquidity

We view TfL's liquidity position as exceptional. It has a very positive debt service coverage of 2.5x, supported by strong and predictable cash flows. TfL also has ready access to external liquidity, which we view as exceptional in international terms, based on its ability to borrow from the U.K. government's Public Works Loan Board (PWLB). TfL also has an established track record of issuing on the capital markets.

We estimate that, on average, TfL will have cash and short-term investments that cover the next 12 months' debt service by 2.5x, after allowing for some loss of value in a stress scenario. We calculate debt service to include repaying commercial paper. (TfL also has access to an undrawn overdraft of £200 million, which we do not include in this 2.5x calculation.)

Beyond the next 12 months, we see TfL's levels of free cash and equivalents continuing to diminish to fund its planned capital program. TfL has effectively been prefunding its capital program by raising debt in advance of the associated capex.

We view TfL as having exceptional access, in international terms, to external liquidity. This is primarily due to the U.K. government's PWLB, which can provide funding to TfL within 48 hours of an application, as long as TfL is operating according to the Prudential Code. In addition, TfL has an established track record of issuing commercial paper and medium-term notes on the capital markets.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our expectation that TfL's flexibility, financial management, and liquidity will continue to be credit strengths, even though its financial profile is set to weaken as grant reduces and capital investment continues, resulting in deficits after capital accounts.

We may consider raising the rating within the next two years if TfL's total revenues rise such that deficits after capital accounts shrink substantially below our base-case expectations. In this scenario, TfL would likely receive extra capital grant to fund its investment program, such that it reduces borrowings and its debt position improves.

Conversely, we could consider lowering the rating in the next two years if TfL substantially increases its capex, whether as a result of cost overruns or a shift in financial strategy. This would result in deficits after capex substantially

exceeding our base-case expectations on a recurrent basis and its debt burden increasing more rapidly than we currently forecast. In such a scenario, we might consequently revise downward our view of TfL's financial management, and its willingness to use its expenditure flexibilities.

Key Statistics

Table 1

Transport for London Economic Statistics							
	--Fiscal year end Dec. 31--						
	2011	2012	2013	2014bc	2015bc	2016bc	2017bc
Population	8,204,484	8,308,362	8,416,636	8,517,635	8,611,329	8,714,665	8,810,526
Population growth (%)	1.8	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.1
GDP per capita (local currency) (single units)	38,383	39,191	40,215	42,222	43,729	45,060	46,580
Real GDP growth (%)	5.2	1.5	3.3	3.7	3.1	2.8	2.8
Unemployment rate (%)	10.0	8.4	8.2	6.4	6.2	6.0	5.8

The data and ratios above result in part from Standard & Poor's own calculations, drawing on national as well as international sources, reflecting Standard & Poor's independent view on the timeliness, coverage, accuracy, credibility, and usability of available information. Sources typically include national statistical offices, Eurostat, and Experian Limited.

Table 2

Transport for London Financial Statistics							
	--Fiscal year beginning April 1--						
(Mil. £)	2011	2012	2013	2014bc	2015bc	2016bc	2017bc
Operating revenues	7,522	7,954	7,874	7,647	6,846	7,329	7,710
Operating expenditures	5,606	5,873	6,119	6,438	6,905	7,173	7,386
Operating balance	1,916	2,081	1,755	1,209	(59)	156	324
Operating balance (% of operating revenues)	25.5	26.2	22.3	15.8	(0.9)	2.1	4.2
Capital revenues	1,468	2,046	2,141	1,555	1,605	2,493	1,613
Capital expenditures	2,776	3,237	3,146	3,571	4,093	3,389	3,236
Balance after capital accounts	609	890	750	(807)	(2,547)	(740)	(1,299)
Balance after capital accounts (% of total revenues)	6.8	8.9	7.5	(8.8)	(30.1)	(7.5)	(13.9)
Debt repaid	3,407	1,255	1,274	786	837	871	1,144
Balance after debt repayment and onlending	(2,798)	(365)	(524)	(1,593)	(3,384)	(1,612)	(2,443)
Balance after debt repayment and onlending (% of total revenues)	(31.1)	(3.6)	(5.2)	(17.3)	(40.0)	(16.4)	(26.2)
Gross borrowings	2,637	1,533	1,538	1,360	1,350	1,478	1,968
Balance after borrowings	(161)	1,168	1,014	(233)	(2,034)	(134)	(475)
Operating revenue growth (%)	N.M.	5.7	(1.0)	(2.9)	(10.5)	7.1	5.2
Operating expenditure growth (%)	N.M.	4.8	4.2	5.2	7.3	3.9	3.0

Table 2

Transport for London Financial Statistics (cont.)

(Mil. £)	--Fiscal year beginning April 1--						
	2011	2012	2013	2014bc	2015bc	2016bc	2017bc
Modifiable revenues (% of operating revenues)	51.1	51.9	56.2	60.1	71.5	71.3	71.6
Capital expenditures (% of total expenditures)	33.1	35.5	34.0	35.7	37.2	32.1	30.5
Direct debt (outstanding at year-end)	7,129	7,564	7,898	8,548	9,148	9,848	10,748
Direct debt (% of operating revenues)	94.8	95.1	100.3	111.8	133.6	134.4	139.4
Tax-supported debt (% of consolidated operating revenues)	112.3	109.4	114.2	125.1	147.4	145.8	149.2
Interest (% of operating revenues)	4.8	4.6	5.0	5.3	6.5	6.9	7.4
Debt service (% of operating revenues)	50.1	20.4	21.1	15.5	18.7	18.8	22.2

The data and ratios above result in part from Standard & Poor's own calculations, drawing on national as well as international sources, reflecting Standard & Poor's independent view on the timeliness, coverage, accuracy, credibility, and usability of available information. The main sources are the financial statements and budgets, as provided by the issuer. Base case reflects Standard & Poor's expectations of the most likely scenario.

Ratings Score Snapshot

Table 3

Transport for London Ratings Score Snapshot

Key Rating Factors

Institutional Framework	Extremely predictable and supportive
Economy	Very strong
Financial Management	Very strong
Budgetary Flexibility	Strong
Budgetary Performance	Weak
Liquidity	Exceptional
Debt Burden	High
Contingent Liabilities	Very low

*Standard & Poor's ratings on local and regional governments are based on eight main rating factors listed in the table above. Section A of Standard & Poor's "Methodology For Rating Non-U.S. Local And Regional Governments," published on June 30, 2014, summarizes how the eight factors are combined to derive the rating.

Key Sovereign Statistics

- Sovereign Risk Indicators, March 31, 2015. Interactive version available at <http://www.spratings.com/sri>

Related Criteria And Research

Related Criteria

- Criteria - Governments - International Public Finance: Methodology For Rating Non-U.S. Local And Regional Governments - June 30, 2014
- Criteria - Governments - International Public Finance: Methodology And Assumptions

For Analyzing The Liquidity Of Non-U.S. Local And Regional Governments And Related Entities And For Rating Their Commercial Paper Programs - October 15, 2009

Related Research

- U.K. Local Authorities Absorb Major Cuts, But What Flexibility Remains? March 31, 2015
- Default, Transition, and Recovery: International Local And Regional Governments Default And Transition Study: 2012 Saw Defaults Spike, March 28, 2013

In accordance with our relevant policies and procedures, the Rating Committee was composed of analysts that are qualified to vote in the committee, with sufficient experience to convey the appropriate level of knowledge and understanding of the methodology applicable (see 'Related Criteria And Research'). At the onset of the committee, the chair confirmed that the information provided to the Rating Committee by the primary analyst had been distributed in a timely manner and was sufficient for Committee members to make an informed decision.

After the primary analyst gave opening remarks and explained the recommendation, the Committee discussed key rating factors and critical issues in accordance with the relevant criteria. Qualitative and quantitative risk factors were considered and discussed, looking at track-record and forecasts.

The committee's assessment of the key rating factors is reflected in the Ratings Score Snapshot above.

The chair ensured every voting member was given the opportunity to articulate his/her opinion. The chair or designee reviewed the draft report to ensure consistency with the Committee decision. The views and the decision of the rating committee are summarized in the above rationale and outlook. The weighting of all rating factors is described in the methodology used in this rating action (see 'Related Criteria And Research').

Ratings List

	Ratings	
	To	From
Transport for London		
Issuer credit rating		
Foreign and Local Currency	AA+/Stable/A-1+	AA+/Stable/A-1+
Senior Unsecured		
Local Currency	AA+	AA+
Commercial Paper		
Foreign and Local Currency	A-1+	A-1+

Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.globalcreditportal.com and at spcapitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on Standard & Poor's public Web site at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column. Alternatively, call one of the following Standard & Poor's numbers: Client Support Europe (44) 20-7176-7176; London Press Office (44) 20-7176-3605; Paris (33) 1-4420-6708; Frankfurt (49) 69-33-999-225; Stockholm (46) 8-440-5914; or Moscow 7 (495) 783-4009.

Additional Contact:

International Public Finance Ratings Europe; PublicFinanceEurope@standardandpoors.com

Copyright © 2015 Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC, a part of McGraw Hill Financial. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgement as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.