

**Rating Action: Moody's downgrades Transport for London's ratings to A3 from A1; outlook negative**

---

15 Jun 2021

London, 15 June 2021 -- Moody's Public Sector Europe ("Moody's") has today downgraded Transport for London's (TfL) long-term senior unsecured debt ratings to A3 from A1 and its long-term EMTN programme rating to (P)A3 from (P)A1. The outlook remains negative. Moody's has also downgraded TfL's short-term issuer rating and short-term commercial paper rating to Prime-2 (P-2) from Prime-1 (P-1).

The downgrade reflects Moody's conclusion that TfL's intrinsic financial strength has been durably and materially weakened by the pandemic, and that the limited level of financial support provided by the Government of the United Kingdom (Aa3 stable), and the absence of clarity on ongoing financial support arrangements, at a time when TfL faces a long-lasting shortfall in ridership post pandemic, signals that this erosion in its financial strength is unlikely to be reversed. TfL's adjustment to a weaker, less supportive operating environment will be challenging and may involve significant cuts to operating and capital expenditures that undermine the value of its service and hence its revenue base and that raise costs in the longer term. In most scenarios, TfL's financial strength will be diminished.

The rating downgrade also takes into account a lower likelihood of extraordinary support than previously assumed, reflecting the limited nature of the UK government's financial commitment to TfL during the crisis and its revealed preference for TfL to address its financing shortfall through internal budgetary changes.

The negative outlook reflects Moody's view that risks remain skewed to the downside. Heightened uncertainty remains about whether TfL will secure a long-term funding agreement, which it needs to plan its operations and capex; and, if an agreement is reached, what conditions will be attached to it, how extensive further operating cuts would need to be, and how it will fund its large capital programme, which remains important to protect the attractiveness and reliability of services.

#### RATINGS RATIONALE

##### RATIONALE FOR DOWNGRADE TO A3

The rating downgrade reflects Moody's assessment that both TfL's intrinsic financial strength and the probability of extraordinary support from the UK government are lower than previously estimated.

The negative pressure on TfL's intrinsic financial strength is reflected in the downgrade of the Baseline Credit Assessment (BCA) to baa2 from a3.

Moody's considers that the operating environment for TfL has become considerably less predictable and supportive. Since the beginning of the pandemic, support has been delivered through three six-month funding agreements and three very short extensions, which failed to provide funding visibility. In addition, the amounts provided through these funding agreements fell short of the large pandemic-related funding gaps that TfL faced in FY2021 and faces in FY2022, leading TfL to draw from its cash buffer and implement further cost cutting or cost deferrals. However TfL has maintained cash balances of at least GBP1.2bn throughout, in line with its liquidity policy.

Moody's expects that ongoing funding will be delivered similarly under conditions that undermine TfL's capacity to run its operations and plan for and execute its capital expenditure needs.

The UK government has set TfL the objective to be self-sufficient by April 2023 (FY2024) with no grants apart from business rates, and covering some capital renewals and minor enhancements. Moody's expects a funding shortfall of around GBP500 to GBP600 million on its operating budget -- for which TfL would be required to find additional revenues or expenditure cuts - and GBP1.6 billion on its capital programme from FY2024, although the UK government has stated TfL would not be expected to fund major capital enhancements and major renewals from its operating income.

Achieving this objective will necessitate large cost cuts and/or increases in revenue, if TfL is to preserve its

liquidity buffers and avoid a sharp increase in debt. However, such a significant adjustment is not consistent with TfL's limited budget flexibility and a long-lasting shortfall in fares as ridership fails to return to pre-pandemic trends. The conditions in the funding agreement published on 1st June 2021, which covers the next six months, mean that TfL will need to find a further GBP900 million of savings or additional income in fiscal 2022, after GBP1 billion of recurring cost savings already delivered in the past four years and a further GBP400 million planned to be delivered by fiscal 2025. Even if TfL finds the means to achieve these savings, a large proportion is likely to correspond to one-off rather than recurring cuts, which will only defer this year's funding challenge to future years.

There is no clarity on TfL's ongoing funding support after 11th December 2021 but extending the approach to funding of the past 18 months would leave TfL's financial strength weakened.

The UK government's management of TfL's funding needs during the pandemic described above, consisting of a series of short-term agreements, often delivered late up to the day of expiry of the previous agreement, denotes a lower likelihood of extraordinary support than Moody's had previously assessed. Moreover, the UK government's policy priorities to foster a 'levelling up' of economic activity across the country is also consistent with lower extraordinary support. Based on this, Moody's has lowered its Extraordinary Support assumption for TfL to "strong" from "very high."

The final ratings of A3 incorporate a two-notch uplift based on Moody's assessment of a strong likelihood of support from the UK government, as per the application of Moody's Joint Default Analysis (JDA).

#### RATIONALE FOR NEGATIVE OUTLOOK

The negative outlook reflects the significant uncertainty that remains about TfL's funding sufficiency over the medium term, and about the credit implications of the measures that the organisation may take to achieve it, which puts downward pressure on the rating.

There is no clarity on how TfL will raise an additional GBP500-600 million from its existing revenue sources from FY2024 or make further cuts to its inflexible operating cost base, or how its large capital programme will be funded.

Not achieving these adjustments risks leading TfL to either drawdown its cash buffers further or raise significant amounts of debt which would further increase an already large interest bill. Achieving these adjustments risks forcing cuts to operating and capital expenditures that compromise service quality and lead to further increases in costs later.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL, SOCIAL AND GOVERNANCE CONSIDERATIONS

Environmental considerations are material to TfL's credit profile. TfL is central to the Mayor of London's ambition to achieve a zero carbon London and improve air quality which will entail significant capital expenditure.

Social considerations are also material to TfL's credit profile including socially-driven policy, which can influence passenger fares, and the coronavirus pandemic. The pandemic and associated economic recession has reduced TfL's ridership materially, given its strong correlation with the strength of London's economy and population growth.

Governance considerations are also material to TfL's credit profile. TfL has high standards of financial management and governance.

#### FACTORS THAT COULD LEAD TO AN UPGRADE OR DOWNGRADE OF THE RATINGS

##### WHAT COULD LEAD TO A STABLE OUTLOOK

Moody's would likely consider changing the outlook to stable if it became increasingly likely that a funding plan was implemented that ensured TfL's long-term financial sustainability, through a clear and plausible strategy for raising the additional revenues and/or cutting the expenditure required to balance its operating budget in the medium term, and supporting its capital programme at levels that maintained service quality, without burdening the company with significant additional debt.

##### WHAT COULD LEAD TO A DOWNGRADE

Further material downward pressure on the ratings would result were Moody's to conclude, for example

following further negotiations between TfL and the government later this year, that a solution was unlikely to emerge which would offer a transparent and sustainable solution to TfL's long-term financial challenges and which would clearly signal the government's willingness to continue to support TfL through unforeseen shocks. In that event, the increased likelihood of funding arrangements that ultimately lead TfL to bridge its large post-pandemic funding gaps through unsustainable cost cutting, significant drawdowns on cash buffers and/or increases in debt would be strongly credit negative.

The methodologies used in these ratings were Government-Related Issuers Methodology published in February 2020 and available at [https://www.moodys.com/researchdocumentcontentpage.aspx?docid=PBC\\_1186207](https://www.moodys.com/researchdocumentcontentpage.aspx?docid=PBC_1186207), and Mass Transit Enterprises Methodology published in December 2017 and available at [https://www.moodys.com/researchdocumentcontentpage.aspx?docid=PBM\\_1105431](https://www.moodys.com/researchdocumentcontentpage.aspx?docid=PBM_1105431). Alternatively, please see the Rating Methodologies page on [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com) for a copy of these methodologies.

## REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

For further specification of Moody's key rating assumptions and sensitivity analysis, see the sections Methodology Assumptions and Sensitivity to Assumptions in the disclosure form. Moody's Rating Symbols and Definitions can be found at: [https://www.moodys.com/researchdocumentcontentpage.aspx?docid=PBC\\_79004](https://www.moodys.com/researchdocumentcontentpage.aspx?docid=PBC_79004).

For ratings issued on a program, series, category/class of debt or security this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series, category/class of debt, security or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the credit rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular credit rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com).

For any affected securities or rated entities receiving direct credit support from the primary entity(ies) of this credit rating action, and whose ratings may change as a result of this credit rating action, the associated regulatory disclosures will be those of the guarantor entity. Exceptions to this approach exist for the following disclosures, if applicable to jurisdiction: Ancillary Services, Disclosure to rated entity, Disclosure from rated entity.

The ratings have been disclosed to the rated entity or its designated agent(s) and issued with no amendment resulting from that disclosure.

These ratings are solicited. Please refer to Moody's Policy for Designating and Assigning Unsolicited Credit Ratings available on its website [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com).

Regulatory disclosures contained in this press release apply to the credit rating and, if applicable, the related rating outlook or rating review.

Moody's general principles for assessing environmental, social and governance (ESG) risks in our credit analysis can be found at [http://www.moodys.com/researchdocumentcontentpage.aspx?docid=PBC\\_1263068](http://www.moodys.com/researchdocumentcontentpage.aspx?docid=PBC_1263068).

At least one ESG consideration was material to the credit rating action(s) announced and described above.

The Global Scale Credit Rating on this Credit Rating Announcement was issued by one of Moody's affiliates outside the EU and is endorsed by Moody's Deutschland GmbH, An der Welle 5, Frankfurt am Main 60322, Germany, in accordance with Art.4 paragraph 3 of the Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 on Credit Rating Agencies. Further information on the EU endorsement status and on the Moody's office that issued the credit rating is available on [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com).

Please see [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com) for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com) for additional regulatory disclosures

for each credit rating.

Zoe Jankel  
Vice President - Senior Analyst  
Sub-Sovereign  
Moody's Investors Service Ltd.  
One Canada Square  
Canary Wharf  
London E14 5FA  
United Kingdom  
JOURNALISTS: 44 20 7772 5456  
Client Service: 44 20 7772 5454

Mauro Crisafulli  
MD - Sub Sovereigns  
Sub-Sovereign  
JOURNALISTS: 44 20 7772 5456  
Client Service: 44 20 7772 5454

Releasing Office:  
Moody's Investors Service Ltd.  
One Canada Square  
Canary Wharf  
London E14 5FA  
United Kingdom  
JOURNALISTS: 44 20 7772 5456  
Client Service: 44 20 7772 5454

**Moody's**  
INVESTORS SERVICE

© 2021 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

**CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AFFILIATES ARE THEIR CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MATERIALS, PRODUCTS, SERVICES AND INFORMATION PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S (COLLECTIVELY, "PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE SUCH CURRENT OPINIONS. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT OR IMPAIRMENT. SEE APPLICABLE MOODY'S RATING SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS PUBLICATION FOR INFORMATION ON THE TYPES OF CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS ADDRESSED BY MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS, NON-CREDIT ASSESSMENTS ("ASSESSMENTS"), AND OTHER OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. AND/OR ITS AFFILIATES. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS DO NOT COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS AND OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLISHES ITS PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE,**

## **HOLDING, OR SALE.**

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS, AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS OR PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing its Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY CREDIT RATING, ASSESSMENT, OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,000 to approximately \$5,000,000. MCO and Moody's Investors Service also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of Moody's Investors Service credit ratings and credit rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold credit ratings from Moody's Investors Service and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com) under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY125,000 to approximately JPY550,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.