

## Northern line extension

### Nine Elms and Oval Community Liaison Group

22 October 2015  
Bolney Meadow Community Centre

#### Attendees:

| Name                               | Organisation                                   |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Cllr rep: Claire Holland (Cllr CH) | LB Lambeth                                     |
| Officer rep: Iago Griffiths (IG)   | LB Lambeth                                     |
| Sarah Finn (SF)                    | LB Lambeth                                     |
| Donald Stark (DS)                  | NLE Community Action                           |
| Roland Petchey (RP)                | Claylands Green NLE Action Group               |
| Frances Goodchild (FG)             | Claylands Green NLE Action Group & Fentiman Rd |
| Lochlan Macaulay (LM)              | Transport for London                           |
| Katie-Jane Kyte (KK)               | Transport for London                           |
| Michael Tarrega (MT)               | Transport for London                           |
| Adelle Peattie (AP)                | Transport for London                           |
| Andrew Fletcher (AF)               | FLO  |
| Jesus Campos (JC)                  | FLO  |

Apologies: Caryl Davies, Malcolm Russell, Charles Pender

|            | Item  | Action |
|------------|---|--------|
| <b>1.0</b> | <b>Introductions and apologies</b>  |        |
| 1.1        | Cllr CH thanked all for coming and advised that there is now an agreed meeting structure and time which the group should endeavour to stick to. |        |
|            |   |        |
| <b>2.0</b> | <b>Minutes of the previous meeting</b>  |        |

|            | <b>Item</b>   | <b>Action</b> |
|------------|---|---------------|
| 2.1        | FG raised a query with point 3.14 window cleaning – LM advised that Best Practicable Means are being employed by the project and dust monitoring data shows that the NLE has not breached thresholds and therefore will not be engaging in window cleaning at this time. AF agreed and added that the NLE is damping down works to mitigate dust. FG acknowledged this but advised this issue may be raised again in the future. SF asked to see details of the dust monitoring regime for the NLE and LM agreed that this could be provided. | <b>Flo</b>    |
| <b>3.0</b> | <b>Northern line extension progress report and update</b><br><br>JC and AF gave a presentation on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Overall update</li> <li>• 3 month lookback and lookahead</li> <li>• Feedback and suggestions</li> <li>• Engagement opportunities and how to contact us</li> </ul>   | <b>Flo</b>    |
| 3.1        | AF reported that the community can contact us in a variety of ways; 24/7 dedicated helpdesk on 0343 222 2424 (option 1), via email to <a href="mailto:nle@tfl.gov.uk">nle@tfl.gov.uk</a> or at 'drop in' sessions which we will be setting up soon.   |               |
| 3.2        | AF advised that the NLE will be joining with Mace and Barratts to invest in a community landscaping scheme at the Wyvil Estate. There are also a number of local businesses now involved with the NLE including the canteen catering company at Nine Elms and Battersea site offices. FG queried the percentage of staff on the NLE from the local areas; KK advised that the project can feed this back at the next meeting and going forward.   |               |
| 3.3        | AF reported that the NLE has hosted work experience students from Lambeth and Wandsworth and will be starting the school engagement programme soon, in which the expectations for people working on a construction site in terms of health, safety, discipline and conduct will be discussed.   |               |
| 3.4        | JC advised that through the demolition of the Banhams Security building the project was able to help two local charities but giving them the redundant furniture from the building.   |               |
| <b>4.0</b> | <b>Defects surveys</b>  |               |
| 4.1        | JC reported that Flo are progressing with the defects surveys   |               |

|                              | <b>Item</b>   | <b>Action</b>           |
|------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
|                              | <p>and this is an ongoing process with no issues to report at this time. RP queried whether the contract for the surveyor has been let, AF advised that it had and those within the zone of influence for the tunnels and excavation will be contacted by CPM Surveys. JD added that those properties affected by piling works have already been contacted and surveys have been done. AF reported that the monitoring regime is also in place and Flo are working through contacting building owners/freeholders/leaseholders as appropriate. Cllr CH questioned whether this should just be freeholders and LM advised that long leaseholders would be contacted. RP advised that he had received his settlement deed in the post but there was no mention of a due date to send back. LM advised that residents should send their deed back at their earliest convenience and AF stated that the witness signature at the back does not have to be someone in the legal profession, so long as it is not a relative.</p> |                         |
|                              |   |                         |
| <p><b>5.0</b></p> <p>5.1</p> | <p><b>Construction noise</b></p> <p>JC reported that both attended and unattended noise monitoring is in place and Flo have a baseline by which to track construction noise levels. JC advised that no triggers, set out in the Section 61, have been hit although there have been circumstances where a noise has peaked the Flo have investigated the root causes to mitigate this. SF asked for details on the noise monitoring regime and LM agreed this can be shared, JD adding that the Flo environmental team do provide updates to LB Lambeth on a regular basis.</p>  | <p><b>Flo</b></p>       |
|                              |   |                         |
| <p><b>6.0</b></p> <p>6.1</p> | <p><b>Update on any issues since the last meeting which have changed from the Environmental Statement published during the Inquiry</b></p> <p>LM reported that the Environmental Statement had indicative traffic routes illustrated which avoided the Vauxhall gyratory. This has been reviewed given the amount of development now in this area by the TfL Planned Interventions team and the Borough Highways officers and it has been agreed that NLE construction traffic can now use the gyratory. FG stated that this is not a 'minor' change and DS questioned how many lorry movements this change will cover. LM advised that this can be reported on in the next meeting but reminded the group that at Battersea a large amount of materials are being removed from site by river rather than road. FG suggested that the project issues a notification regarding this change in traffic movements and more information is needed. Cllr CH questioned how this is being monitored to ensure the change</p>      | <p><b>TfL / Flo</b></p> |

|            | <b>Item</b>   | <b>Action</b>    |
|------------|---|------------------|
|            | is not detrimental to the area as a whole. LM advised that there are construction logistics groups which look closely at this area of London and bring together all developers, Borough Highways officers and TfL Planned Intervention representatives. TfL are also commissioning an independent piece of work to verify this and LM reiterated that TfL are closely reviewing.  |                  |
| <b>7.0</b> | <b>Track form</b>   |                  |
| 7.1        | LM advised that the track form continues to be under design and although it is progressing there is no update yet. Cllr CH asked that there is an update either on the track form itself or timelines for the next meeting.   | <b>TfL / Flo</b> |
| <b>8.0</b> | <b>Nine Elms station over-site development</b>  |                  |
| 8.1        | AP gave an update on the Nine Elms station OSD. TfL have been working with LB Lambeth on the design and will be submitting a full planning application imminently. This will include indicative visualisations for the new station.   |                  |
| 8.2        | AP reported that there will be 362 residential units and a commercial building, which has been included further to comments from LB Lambeth. There will also be active frontages including retail space and 44% of the scheme comprises new public realm, including a large public open space.  |                  |
| 8.3        | AP advised that the percentage of affordable homes is subject to ongoing negotiation with LB Lambeth. A viability assessment has been provided. FG questioned the order of magnitude of affordable housing and AP advised that one of the buildings has been designed to be solely affordable and to a high design standard. Cllr CH stated that social housing is also a high priority and AP acknowledged this and informed the group the planning application to develop social housing for LB Lambeth on the Fenwick estate as part of the S106 obligations from Sainsbury's element of the Nine Elms site. |                  |
| 8.4        | IG questioned whether there will be any consultation done. AP advised that the application will be on the Planning Portal for residents to see and there had been two open days in January and April of this year, and there may be a further one once the application has been submitted.  |                  |
| <b>9.0</b> | <b>Issues raised by community groups and residents</b>  |                  |

|             | <b>Item</b>  | <b>Action</b> |
|-------------|--|---------------|
| 9.1         | DS questioned why there are so many bollards in the road at Kennington Green, acknowledging that this was not strictly to do with the Nine Elms CLG but the NLE as a whole. LM advised this is traffic management as the road markings were removed for utility diversion work. FG raised a concern that the pavement has been lost and Cllr CH reported that there has been a walkaround with Val Shawcross AM and Cllr Jane Edbrooke to review the area. |               |
| 9.2         | FG questioned what the percentage of contracts let currently is. JC advised that the project can come back on this at the next meeting and FG stated that it was raised at the public inquiry. JC advised that the major contracts for, for example, piling had been let but there is still a large amount of the work to be awarded, for example in the fit out stage.  | <b>Flo</b>    |
| <b>10.0</b> | <b>Future agenda items</b><br><br>None raised.   |               |
| <b>11.0</b> | <b>Dates of future meetings</b><br><br>KK advised the next meeting will be in January and meetings for 2016 will be scheduled in in advance.   |               |
|             |  |               |
|             |  |               |

Meeting started 7.04 and closed at 8.00  
 Minutes drafted by KK